

RECEIVED

1... 17 MS. RUCQUOI: My name is Jann Rucquoi and I live
18 in the Pahrump Valley, actually Inyo County, so I'm
19 representing a little piece of California, and we're at the
20 other end of the Amargosa watershed, so that we're -- I mean,
21 as you have said before, the water is tremendously important
22 for us, and very precious, and so is God. Otherwise we
23 couldn't even live here in the desert.

24 So when we see that the studies that -- I'm not a
25 scientific kind of person, so when I see graphs and I see that 1

39
ATLAS REPORTING SERVICES
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA
(888) 4-ATLAS-1

1 cont. 1 the water is going to be contaminated as it goes down through
2 Yucca Mountain, which is a porous mountain, and it's going to
3 hit into the Amargosa watershed and so much is going to go into
4 the -- into the water and that we can assume that people are
5 going to have so much radioactivity in their water, in their
6 crops, in their animals, and that's not even assuming the
7 cumulative effect of has already happening in the water right
8 now in the Test Site, because as I understand it, these are two
9 different agencies that don't speak to each other and don't
10 seem to share their information.

11 So that we're only getting the information from
12 what might be happening from Yucca Mountain and not what's
13 happening from Yucca Mountain plus the Test Site.

14 And when I was reading the National Environmental
15 Policy Act and I see that there's at least three instances when
16 we talk about that the -- that the act was designed for the
17 welfare of people in the present generations and for all future
18 generations to come, three different times it talks about the
3... 19 welfare of people, and when I -- when I understand that the
20 responsibility of this Yucca Mountain project is for 9,000
21 years, I think well, what about the generations that happen in
22 10,000 years and more than that?

23 I think that -- I think it's inadequate to say
24 that we're only responsible for 9,000 years. I think it's
25 inadequate to say that we can have so much radioactivity in the

3 cont. 1 groundwater.

2 2 I think that if we're going to really stand
3 behind this Environmental Policy Act of 1969, we have to really
4 believe it's for the welfare of all citizens in this country
5 and we have to really stick by it and not think a little bit of
6 poison is okay for people. I don't think it's okay.

7 Thank you.

8 MS. DIXON: Thank you for coming.

9 MR. BROWN: Thank you.

10 That concludes the list of people who signed up
11 ahead of time.

12 Are there any others in the audience who would
13 like to make a formal comment? We have an opportunity for
14 that.

15 Steve.